



the funds, it is not a project as defined by CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines. The use of bond proceeds to finance any project or portion of any project with funds for the Police Facilities and Infrastructure portion of the Bond will be subject to approval of the Board upon completion of planning and any further required environmental review under CEQA for the individual Police Facilities and Infrastructure projects.

(iv) Medical Examiner Facility. The Environmental Review Officer in the Planning Department determined that the Medical Examiner Facility project is exempt from environmental review as a Class 32 Categorical Exemption, infill development, in a written determination dated May 30, 2013 and contained in Planning Department File No. 2012.1172E and this Board's File No. 131190.

(v) Traffic Company and Forensic Services Division Facility. On November 18, 2013, the Planning Department issued a Final Mitigated Negative Declaration ("FMND") for the Traffic Company and Forensic Services Division Facility project, San Francisco Planning Department Case No. 2013.0342E, which is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 131190 and which is incorporated into this ordinance by this reference. In issuing the FMND the Planning Department determined that the Traffic Company and Forensic Services Division Facility project could not have a significant effect on the environment.

(a) The Board hereby adopts as its own the CEQA findings for the Traffic Company and Forensic Services Division Facility project made by the Planning Department in the FMND.

(b) The Board has reviewed and considered the information contained in the FMND and all other documents referenced in this Ordinance as being on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 131190.

(c) The Traffic Company and Forensic Services Division Facility project as reflected in this ordinance is consistent with the project described in the FMND and would not result in any significant impacts not identified in the FMND nor cause significant effects identified in the FMND to be substantially more severe.

(d) In accordance with CEQA, the Board has considered the mitigation measures described in the FMND and hereby requires the mitigation measures and the mitigation monitoring and reporting program ("MMRP") denoted as Exhibit A to this ordinance and on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 131190 to be imposed as conditions on the implementation of the Traffic Company and Forensic Services Division Facility project approved by this ordinance.

(e) With the implementation of the mitigation measures required in Exhibit A to this ordinance, the environmental impacts resulting from the Traffic Company and Forensic Services Division Facility project on subsurface cultural resources, air quality emissions, construction hours and operational traffic would be reduced to a less than significant level as described in the FMND.

(f) Based upon the whole record for the FMND, including all written materials and any oral testimony received by the Board, the Board hereby finds that the FMND reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Planning Department and the Board, is adequate and complete and there is no substantial evidence that the proposed Traffic Company and Forensic Services Division Facility project, given the implementation of the mitigation measures as stated in the FMND and the adoption of the MMRP, could have a significant effect on the environment as shown in the analysis of the FMND. The Board hereby adopts the FMND and the MMRP on file with the Clerk of the Board as Exhibit A to this ordinance.

Section 13. The Board finds and declares that the proposed Bond is (i) in conformity with the priority policies of Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code, (ii) in accordance with Section 4.105 of the Charter and Section 2A.53(f) of the Administrative Code, and (iii) consistent with the City's General Plan, and adopts the findings of the Planning Department, as set forth in the General Plan Referral Report dated November 26, 2013, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 131190 and incorporates such findings by reference.

Section 14. Under Section 53410 of the California Government Code, the bonds shall be for the specific purpose authorized in this ordinance and the proceeds of such bonds will be applied only for such specific purpose. The City will comply with the requirements of Sections 53410(c) and 53410(d) of the California Government Code.

Section 15. The Bonds are subject to, and incorporate by reference, the applicable provisions of Administrative Code Sections 5.30 – 5.36 (the "Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee"). Under Section 5.31 of the Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee, to the extent permitted by law, one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) of the gross proceeds of the Bonds shall be deposited in a fund established by the Controller's Office and appropriated by the Board of Supervisors at the direction of the Citizens' General Obligation Bond Oversight Committee to cover the costs of such committee.

Section 16. The time requirements specified in Section 2.34 of the Administrative Code are waived.

Section 17. The appropriate officers, employees, representatives and agents of the City are hereby authorized and directed to do everything necessary or desirable to accomplish the calling and holding of the Bond Special Election, and to otherwise carry out the provisions of this ordinance.

Section 18. Documents referenced in this ordinance are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 131190, which is hereby declared to be a part of this ordinance as if set forth fully herein.

Proposition B

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION 1. Title

This measure shall be known and may be cited as the "Waterfront Height Limit Right To Vote Act"

SECTION 2. Findings and Declarations

The People of the City and County of San Francisco declare their findings and purposes in enacting this Initiative to be as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco waterfront is an irreplaceable public resource of the highest value;

Whereas, San Francisco holds the waterfront in trust for the People of California;

Whereas, it is in the interest of San Francisco to preserve a unique and vibrant vital waterfront with adequate public views of, and access to, the City and San Francisco Bay;

Whereas reasonable building height limits on the San Francisco waterfront have been instrumental in preventing the historic waterfront from becoming blocked and walled off by luxury high-rises and tall private buildings as has happened on many waterfronts around the country;

Therefore the people of San Francisco declare that it is the policy of the City and County of San Francisco that:

The existing maximum building height limits on the San Francisco waterfront shall be preserved and shall not be increased unless a height limit increase is approved by San Francisco voters.

SECTION 3. Waterfront Height Limit Right To Vote Requirement

Section 61.5.1 is added to the San Francisco Administrative Code as follows:

- (a) No city agency or officer may take, or permit to be taken, any action to permit development located in whole or in part on the waterfront to exceed at any point the building and structure height limits in effect as of January 1, 2014, which are set forth in San Francisco Planning Code Article



2.5, unless a height limit increase for the development has been approved by a vote of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

- (b) Any ballot measure placed before the electors to approve increased height limits for development on the waterfront must specify both the existing and proposed height limits in the ballot question. The failure to specify both the existing and proposed height limits in the ballot question shall render such an increase in height limits void.
- (c) For the purposes of this Section, the term “waterfront” means land transferred to the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to Chapter 1333 of the Statutes of 1968, as well as any other property which is owned by or under the control of the Port Commission of San Francisco as of January 1, 2014 or acquired thereafter.

SECTION 4. Effective Date

In accordance with the provisions of California Elections Code section 9217, if a majority of the voters vote in favor of the Initiative, the Initiative shall go into effect 10 days after the vote is declared by the Board of Supervisors.

SECTION 5. Severability

If any provision of this Initiative or any application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any provision or application of this Initiative that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provisions of this Initiative are severable.